

## **Christina Gagnier's Extremely Bad Record**

- **Gagnier's law firm represented a radical/extremist, /anti-religion, organization in 2019.**

Gagnier/Margossian represented the Ayn Rand Institute in 2019. The Institute shows up as a client on an August 21, 2019 Wayback machine Search of her law firm's web page ([www.gamallp.com](http://www.gamallp.com)).  
<https://web.archive.org/web/20190812032828/http://www.gamallp.com/clients>

While difficult to read on the image below, the firm's name is at the bottom of the page.

# BRAV

Clients  
WE <3 STARTUPS

## Who We Work With



While Gagnier constantly complains about extremism, the "extreme" label is applied due to the radical nature of the ideas that her client, the [Ayn Rand Institute](#), promotes. Ayn Rand and her followers have consistently advocated for the dismantling of safety nets like welfare, social security and Medicare. They have been accused of being anti-democracy and anti-religion.

And, while Gagnier seems like an unrelenting progressive, the Institute was credited with being the intellectual backbone of the Tea Party movement which is credited with being the roots of the MAGA movement.

Here are some of the Institute's beliefs.

- **Rejection of Altruism:** ARI advocates for [rational self-interest](#) and the "virtue of selfishness," flatly rejecting altruism as a moral duty.
  - **Dismantle safety nets.** They support a total separation of state and economics, which would involve dismantling most modern social safety nets and regulatory bodies.
  - **Atheism:** The institute is strictly secular and often [critiques religion](#) as a primitive form of philosophy that relies on faith over reason.
- **The Institute wants to end Social Security, Medicare and other Safety Nets**

Rand argues that a country dedicated to **individualism must oppose every "redistribution" of wealth** for a simple but profound reason: it's not *our* wealth to redistribute. If I walk into your garage and drive your Camry across the street to your neighbor's garage, I haven't redistributed our "collective" wealth, I've stolen *yours*. If I help pass a law that allows the government to "redistribute" your Camry to your neighbor, I've only made the situation worse by legalizing the theft.

Yet this is what programs like Social Security do. In essence, Social Security seizes the money of a young worker and gives it to an older person to pay for his retirement. This is combined with the grisly hope, falsely labeled a promise, that when this young victim reaches retirement age, there will be enough new young workers earning enough money for the government to now victimize them to pay for his retirement.

What's moral about this? If you and I wrote a computer program to siphon a few percent from young people's bank accounts and deposit the proceeds into the accounts of the elderly, we would be branded criminals. What makes it moral if the government does it? The fact that a lot of us voted for it? Should we say the same about Prohibition or segregation laws?

Source: <https://ari.aynrand.org/issues/government-and-business/individual-rights/the-myth-about-ayn-rand-and-social-security/>

## ***THE DEBT DIALOGUES [EPISODE 47]: R.J. RENZA, JR., ON SOCIAL SECURITY***

**POST** by [Don Watkins](#) | [View All Posts](#) September 01, 2015

The Debt Dialogues is a weekly podcast that aims to educate young people about the welfare state and how it will affect their future. In this episode, I interview R.J. Renza, Jr., author of *How Are You Not Angry Yet? How Social Security is Destroying the Futures, Finances and Hopes of Generations X, Y and Z and How We Can Put an End To It*, on the vital need to end Social Security. Topics covered include: the true cost of Social Security, what young people really think about the program and how to convince people that **Social Security should be abolished**.

<https://ari.aynrand.org/tag/social-security/>

## **IT'S TIME TO UNPLUG MEDICARE'S THIRD RAIL**

by Rituparna Basu | November 26, 2012 | [The Daily Caller](#)

This election Paul Ryan and other Republicans dared approach the third rail that is Medicare, and Democrats upped the voltage, branding Republicans every chance they got as wanting to “end Medicare as we know it.”

But whatever you thought of Ryan's particular proposals for Medicare, and whoever you voted for, there's one thing we must all come to agree on now — **it is high time we unplug the third rail.**

According to Medicare's trustees, Medicare will go bankrupt in the next 12 years (some argue it could happen as early as 2016). The program is already running combined deficits of close to \$290 billion, and its long-term unfunded liabilities top \$30 trillion (some argue they could be more than \$89 trillion). Cato's Michael Tanner puts the lower estimate of Medicare's unfunded liabilities in perspective: "[W]e could confiscate every penny belonging to every millionaire and billionaire in America and still cover less than a third of Medicare's red ink, even using the lowest estimate for its unfunded liabilities."

...Why, despite its impending fiscal collapse, is Medicare untouchable? Because we view the program as performing a crucial government function: paying for seniors' medical bills. That the government should do this, too many of us hold as sacrosanct, and it's why any proposal that seniors pay for a greater share of their medical care is met with ads showing granny being pushed off a cliff.

It's past time to question this, to question whether Medicare's basic purpose is proper.

Medicare is often characterized as an "earned benefit" — a fund you pay into during your working years and then become eligible to collect from once you retire. But in reality Medicare is no such thing — it's a redistribution program that taxes the income of current workers to pay the medical expenses of current seniors.

The portion of your wealth that is seized today for the program is not invested for your future medical costs, nor is it saved for you in a vault marked with your name until you turn 65. The Medicare "trust fund" is, in fact, a myth.

Any money the government collects from you is spent the minute it is received on today's seniors. Those over 65 today collect at least \$3 in benefits for every dollar they paid in payroll taxes during their working years, which is only possible courtesy of today's working population. And when you turn 65, Medicare will only exist to the degree the government taxes the then-working population.

Is it proper for the government *to force people into such a scheme*? Is it right to make the medical expenses of some the unchosen obligation of others? Is Medicare compatible with the founding principle of America — that each individual has a right to live for the sake of his own happiness and not have the fruits of his productivity confiscated for others?

These are the kinds of fundamental questions we must be willing to ask. It really should not be surprising that when we divorce benefits from costs — a person's consumption from his production — as Medicare does, bankruptcy looms.

Ultimately, we will need to discuss the **possibility of ending Medicare as we know it — of no longer forcing some people to pay the medical bills of others...**

America is surely heading toward a financial reckoning. Let's face this reality, unplug the third rail and have a real debate about the future of Medicare.

Source: <https://ari.aynrand.org/issues/government-and-business/health-care/its-time-to-unplug-medicares-third-rail/>

- **Gagnier was CEO of at least two organizations suspended by the Secretary of State and Not in Good Standing with the Franchise Tax Board.**

- Gagnier was CEO of Realpolitech a firm that was suspended by the Secretary of State and not in good standing with the Franchise Tax Board. She was CFO of KBYG which was also suspended.

Source: <https://bizfileonline.sos.ca.gov/search/business>

Login

KBYG (4138629)




Request Certificate

<i>Initial Filing Date</i>	04/05/2018
<i>Status</i>	Suspended - FTB
<i>Standing - SOS</i>	Good
<i>Standing - FTB</i>	Not Good
<i>Standing - Agent</i>	Good
<i>Standing - VCFCF</i>	Good
<i>Inactive Date</i>	02/01/2022
<i>Formed In</i>	CALIFORNIA
<i>Entity Type</i>	Nonprofit Corporation - CA - Public Benefit
<i>Principal Address</i>	N/A
<i>Mailing Address</i>	4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY 290 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709
<i>Statement of Info Due Date</i>	04/30/2026
<i>Agent</i>	Individual CHRISTINA GAGNIER 4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY 290 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709



View History



Request Access



Request Certificate

<i>Initial Filing Date</i>	04/23/2009
<i>Status</i>	Suspended - FTB
<i>Standing - SOS</i>	Good
<i>Standing - FTB</i>	Not Good
<i>Standing - Agent</i>	Good
<i>Standing - VCFCF</i>	Good
<i>Inactive Date</i>	10/01/2020
<i>Formed In</i>	CALIFORNIA
<i>Entity Type</i>	Stock Corporation - CA - General
<i>Principal Address</i>	4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY 290 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709
<i>Mailing Address</i>	4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY 290 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709
<i>Statement of Info Due Date</i>	04/30/2026
<i>Agent</i>	Individual CHRISTINA GAGNIER 4195 CHINO HILLS PARKWAY 290 CHINO HILLS, CA 91709

## **Here is what suspended from FTB means:**

When your business has been suspended or forfeited, it is not in good standing and loses its rights, powers, and privileges to do business in California.

To revive your business and be in good standing, you must:

- File all past due tax returns
- Pay all past due tax balances
- File a revivor request form

### **• Gagnier complains about high county salaries, but paid the District Superintendent over \$425,000 a year in pay and perks**

On her watch, the board paid Superintendent Norm Enfield over \$425,000 in pay and perks.

The highest-paid employee for the Chino Valley Unified School District in 2022 was Superintendent Norman Enfield, with the following compensation details:

- Regular Pay: \$314,502.00
- Other Pay: \$42,189.00
- Total Pay: \$356,691.00
- Benefits: \$68,998.00
- Total Pay & Benefits: \$425,689.00
- 

Enfield was making a lot less in 2018 and it looks like he received about an 80% pay raise between 2018 and 2022.

*From Transparent CA.*

<u>Norman Enfield</u>	<u>Superintendent Chino Valley Unified, 2018</u>	\$213,826.00	\$0.00	\$18,310.00	\$232
-----------------------	--	--------------	--------	-------------	-------

Norman Enfield , working as Superintendent, was employed by Chino Valley Unified in 2024, and received regular pay of \$415,582, other pay of \$2,181, total pay of \$417,763, benefits worth \$89,468, total compensation of \$507,231 including pay and benefits. This compensation was 588 percent higher than the average and 759 percent higher than the median in Chino Valley Unified.

In year 2024 Norman Enfield's total compensation was 54 percent higher than average Superintendent total compensation in the state of California. According to the public records employee's total compensation increased by \$23,880 from 2023 to 2024, which is a 4.9 percent rise. Since 2012, the total compensation has increased by \$337,449, a total rise of 198.8 percent.

*Board president Christina Gagnier gave an oral report on Supt. Enfield’s addendum to his contract as follows: he has an annual base salary of \$329,629 for the 2022-23 school year with the one-time 2 percent payment amounting to \$6,856, a longevity amount of \$13,186 a year, a doctoral stipend of \$13,713 per year, a health and welfare benefits cap at \$10,000, and other benefits including organizational membership, vacation, and sick days.*

*“We are paying the superintendent way more than he is worth,” Chino Hills resident Misty Startup said. “We cannot give raises to people who are already making astronomical amounts when the school system is crumbling and our kids are suffering.”*

Source: Chino Valley Champion October 29, 2022

- **Gagnier backed a pay raise for the Superintendent.**

II.A.3. Approval of Salary Increases for Management and Classified Confidential Employees Sonja Shaw and Misty S. addressed the Board. Moved (Bridge) seconded (Schaffer) motion carried (4-0, Na absent) to

approve the salary increases for management and classified confidential employees as follows: Provide an 8.75% on-schedule salary increase for the 2022/2023 school year effective July 1, 2022; a 2% off-schedule one-time payment as of July 1, 2022, on the 2022/2023 base salary; and approved the revised salary schedule.

*Source: CVUSD Minutes, Oct 20, 2022*

II.A.5. Addendum to the Employment Contract for the Superintendent of the Chino Valley Unified School District Moved (Bridge) seconded (Schaffer) motion carried (4-0, Na absent) to approve the addendum to the employment contract for the Superintendent of the Chino Valley Unified School District as follows: Provide an 8.75% on schedule salary increase for the 2022/2023 school year effective July 1, 2022, and a 2% off-schedule one-time payment as of July 1, 2022, on the 2022/2023 base salary. Student representative voted yes.

*Source: CVUSD Minutes, Oct 20, 2022*

### • **Gagnier Endorsed Abigail Medina and her extreme values**

Gagnier frequently attacks her opponent for endorsing candidates.

When Gagnier dropped out of her Assembly race, she endorsed Abigail Medina who had a bad record on affordability

Press-Enterprise, The (Riverside, CA)  
January 11, 2016  
40th Assembly District race shifts  
By JEFF HORSEMAN

What shaped up as a battle between two Democrats to challenge Republican Assemblyman Marc Steinorth is over before the primary. One Democrat already has dropped out and endorsed her rival.

**Christina Gagnier no longer will seek the 40th Assembly District seat in 2016. Instead, she's throwing her support behind Abigail Medina**, a board member for the San Bernardino Unified School District, the Medina campaign recently announced in a news release.

- At that time, Abigail Medina supported a mandate (SB 350) to reduce gasoline use by 50% even if that means placing limits on how far someone can drive, rationing gas, increasing gas costs or penalties for using too much gas. At the time, the CA Chamber of Commerce labeled it a job killer.

Here is an excerpt from Medina's Op-Ed In El Chicano Weekly

...The good news is that our air has improved due to smart policies that capitalize on our clean energy potential. California's landmark climate and clean energy law, AB 32, has created billions of dollars in funding that is being invested in communities similar to ours, cutting air pollution, and creating good clean energy jobs. Bike lanes, bus rapid transit, and affordable housing close to public transportation are just some of the projects that could be funded. But we still have a long way to go until we can say our air is safe for our kids. Now is the time to take the next ambitious step to lower our emissions in the Inland Empire, improve our air quality, and help our children stay healthy and in school. All children in our communities deserve clean air. When we have healthy communities, we have healthy students who can reach their full potential. **We need our Assemblymembers to pass SB 350 and SB 32 and to bring in AB 32 dollars and resources to our region.** By cutting our ties with fossil fuels and supporting smart bills, they can do what is right for their constituents while investing in our children's future.

*Abigail Medina Op-Ed in El Chicano Weekly, September 10, 2015*

*According to the California Chamber of Commerce, these bills were labeled as job killers in the form that Medina supported and would have potentially led to increased gas prices and slowed economic growth*

*<http://calchamberalert.com/2015/06/05/senate-passes-bills-increasing-fuel-costs-regulatory-burden/>*

## **Senate Bill 350 a highway that goes wrong way 10/6/16, 3:11 PM**

<http://www.dailyrepublic.com/opinion/localopinioncolumnists/senate-bill-350-a-highway-that-goes-wrong-way/>

By Betty Plowman From page A8 | August 10, 2015

Local opinion columnists

## **Senate Bill 350 a highway that goes wrong way**

One of my dear mother's favorite sayings to me as a teenager was, "Good intentions pave the way to hell." Currently our Legislature has a bill that will certainly pave the way there.

It is impossible to keep up with the thousands of bills proposed in Sacramento. By the time this session ends there will be nearly 5,000 bills for the year. There is no reason for all people to know what each and

every bill is about. However, when a bill has the ability to completely change their lives for the worse, they ought to pay attention.

Senate Bill 350 is one such bill.

In an effort to show the world that California is a leader in “climate change” (formerly known as global warming until it was proven there has been no warming in 17 years) Senate President Pro Tempore Kevin de Leon proposed SB 350 – The Gasoline Restriction Act of 2015 – that will not **only cripple the state**, but also the very people he has vowed to protect – those in low-income neighborhoods.

De Leon’s SB 350 is ultimately a gasoline-rationing act. The bill gives the California Air Resources Board free rein **to enact a mandatory 50 percent gasoline and diesel fuel restriction (8 billion gallons annually) by the year 2030.**

To meet the mandate, the state air resources board will be able to ration gas, place mobility restrictions on state residents, place surcharges on family mini-vans, trucks and SUVs, and even monitor individuals’ fuel consumption records.

You have to wonder how these mandates and restrictions will affect not only the state’s economy but its people.

**Low-income communities will be hit hardest as they are the least able to afford any kind of cost increase. SB 350 would not only limit how far they can afford to drive through gas price increases and surcharges on their older vehicles, it could also impose “forced purchasing,” requiring people to buy new electric cars.**

De Leon is quoted regularly for being an advocate for the low-income population, yet if SB 350 passes, that very population would be hit the hardest.

Californians need to know and act to protect themselves from the devastating effects of this bill on their lives.

The California Air Resources Board, an unelected group of bureaucrats, will be given full authority to meet the restriction mandate in any way its members see fit with no oversight permitted by our elected Families, commuters, small businesses, local law enforcement and even transportation funding could be placed in the cross hairs. It is amazing irony that roads and highways are in terrible condition yet they are now in jeopardy of losing funding.

Yes, California roads are in terrible condition. Many plans have been thrown around to lessen the gap between needs and revenue; an increase in gas taxes being one of them. The amazing irony is that more than \$9 billion of existing gas tax receipts would disappear under SB 350 and that it doesn’t appropriate any funding toward road repairs.


California drivers and families need someone to watch out for them and take their needs into account. I’m calling on our representatives in the state Assembly, Bill Dodd and Jim Frazier, to help us in this endeavor. The proposed benefits of SB 350 are speculative. The costs are certain. What is in it for us?...

Betty Plowman is a member of the Right Stuff Committee. Reach her at [betty@calcontrk.org](mailto:betty@calcontrk.org).

<http://www.dailyrepublic.com/opinion/localopinioncolumnists/senate-bill-350-a-highway-that-goes-wrong-way/>

- Gagnier was endorsed by Connie Leyva and Gloria Negrete McLeod for her 2026 Congressional Race. And Connie Leyva has endorsed Gagnier for Supervisor in 2026

Source: Gagnier Instagram posting,  
<https://www.christinaforca.com/volunteer-signup>



**Here are some aspects of Connie Leyva's extreme record:**

- Leyva toled for a \$52 billion gas tax hike that will cost the average family \$400 dollars more a year in gas taxes and up to \$170 dollars more a year in taxes on each car they own. The tax added to skyrocketing gas costs and inflation. Source: SB1, AYE 4/16/17

## **Leyva Voted to Study a Mileage Tax**

SB 1328 (Beall) **Voted to spend millions to study a mileage tax which could lead to commuters being charged by the mile, billions in new taxes and meters on our cars to tell the government how much we are driving.** Extends the life of the Road Usage Charge Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) for four years and requires it to continue assessing the potential for a mileage-based revenue system as an alternative to the gas tax.

Senate Vote: 26-9, 4/26/18, AYE 8/29/18

**Leyva voted to use tax dollars to set up government centers in neighborhoods where drug addicts could legally shoot up illegal drugs heroin and other dangerous drugs.**

SB 186 (Eggman) S.F. Drug shoot up bill. - **Voted to use tax dollars to set up government centers in neighborhoods where drug addicts could legally shoot up illegal drugs heroin and other dangerous drugs.** The measure was widely criticized by law enforcement who said the measure amounts to little more than county sanctioned drug shoot up galleries. The measure only applied to San Francisco but it voters don't like it. Leyva Aye, 9/6/17

## **Leyva is Lenient on sex offenders; Exempts Some Convicted Pedophiles from Mandatory Sex Offender Registration**

According to the California Statewide Law Enforcement Association, "SB 145 exempts persons convicted of non-forcible voluntary sodomy with a minor, oral copulation with a minor or sexual penetration with a minor from having to automatically register as a sex offender if the person wasn't more than 10 years older than the minor at the time of the offense.

According to the Child Advocacy Center, 1 in 3 girls and 1 in 5 boys are sexually abused before the age of 18. Additionally, approximately 20% of victims of sexual abuse are under the age of eight. This is absolutely unacceptable; as Californians, and law enforcement partners who are on

the front lines called to sexual assault and domestic violence cases, laws like **SB 145 will only enable pedophiles to prey on children closer to their age.**”

Leyva voted to exempt some convicted pedophiles from mandatory sex offender registration. For example, a 19-year old pedophile who lured in 9 nine year into having sex would not be required to register.


Source: SB 145, Leyva AYE, 8/31/20

**Gloria Negrete McCleod has a similar set of extreme values, including:**

- GNM voted to release 20,000 dangerous inmates from state prisons. Source: SB 18XXX, 2009-10, 9/11/09, AB 109, 3/17/11
- GNM voted to raise taxes by \$25 billion. Voted to raise the car tax, income taxes and the sales tax on essentials like clothes.

*Source: AB 3XXX (2/19/09), AB 2X (12/18/08), AB 4X (1/28/03)*

- **Gagnier said that having a drag queen story hour for school students was “wonderful.”**



- **Gagnier opposed expelling a student who participated in a blaster gun shooting.**

A 15-year-old Don Lugo High School water polo player narrowly escaped expulsion over a gel blaster gun incident after his family and teammates pleaded his case to the Chino Valley School Board. The student, Raul Barajas, was recommended for expulsion by the school district but the board voted 3-2 on Aug. 18 to deny the district's recommendation. President **Christina Gagnier and board members Andrew Cruz and James Na voted to deny the expulsion while Joe Schaffer and Don Bridge voted for the expulsion.** The board discussed the case in closed session and voted later that evening in open session. Members of the Don Lugo water polo team, parents from the community, and family members addressed the board prior to the closed session meeting. Ken Plunkett, a parent of a water polo player, said Raul drove a student to a park and that student shot

another student with an Orbeez gun. The incident reportedly occurred on July 5. An Orbeez gun is a water pellet gun that blasts gel beads up to 100 feet, according to various gel blaster websites.

*Source: Chino Valley Champion, 8/27/22. Chino Valley Unified School Board Minutes, 8/18/22*

- **Chino Valley Unified School District suffered from chronic absenteeism during her tenure, students with disabilities, African-Americans and Hispanics had low test scores.**

Chino Valley Unified suffered from chronic absenteeism during Gagnier's last year on the board. 26% were chronically absent. The CA School dash board rated that as an extremely high number.

In terms of English arts skills, students with disabilities were rated very low.

Hispanics and African-Americans were rated low.

In terms of math skills, students with disabilities were rated very low, Hispanics and African-Americans were rated low

*Source: CA School dash board,*

<https://www.caschooldashboard.org/reports/36676780000000/2022/academic-engagement#chronic-absenteeism>

- **CAASPP indicated low academic performance for Hispanics and African-Americans**

44.7 of Hispanic 11<sup>th</sup> graders do need meet standards in terms of academic performance. 58% of African-Americans do not meet standards.

*Source: CA School dashboard,*

<https://www.caschooldashboard.org/reports/36676780000000/2022/academic-performance>

- **Gagnier's Campaign Was Fined for Violating Federal Election Law**

Gagnier's 2014 Congressional campaign was fined for violating federal election law. The Federal Elections Commission imposed a \$200 fine for

filing the campaign disclosure report 18 days after they were due. She was late filing the final report – which was due December 4 – after the election.

Source: FEC Memo, 1/30/15

Page 1

• Gagnier failed to report income on her Form 700, Statement of Economic Interest.

Home Tools FE C FINE.pdf x FE C FINE.pdf


5 / 24

Federal Election Commission  
Reason to Believe Circulation Report  
2014 POST-GENERAL Not Election Sensitive 12/04/2014 AUTH

AF#	Committee ID	Committee Name	Candidate Name	Treasurer	Threshold	PV	Receipt Date	Days Late	LOA	RTD Penalty
2895	C00566919	CURT GOTTSCHALL CAMPAIGN	GOTTSCHALL, CURT	CURT GOTTSCHALL	\$163,062	0	12/17/2014	13	\$22,897	\$175
2896	C00548453	DOC MOYLAN GOES TO WASHINGTON ELECTION COMMITTEE	MOYLAN, DAVID JOHN II MD	ROBERT BEAUVAIS	\$154,934	0	Not Filed		\$14,012 (ex)	\$550
2897	C00509200	GAGNIER FOR CONGRESS 2014	GAGNIER, CHRISTINA	CHRISTINA GAGNIER	\$166,112	0	12/22/2014	18	\$13,045	\$200
2898	C00551317	LARRY SMITH VETERAN FOR CONGRESS	SMITH, LARRY STANLEY	LARRY STANLEY SMITH	\$245,685	0	12/12/2014	8	\$15,481	\$150
2899	C00545606	LOUIE MINOR FOR CONGRESS	MINOR, LOUIE JR	ANNA VAZQUEZ	\$135,390	0	Not Filed		\$11,467 (ex)	\$550
2900	C00549824	ROBLES FOR CONGRESS	ROBLES, LUZ M	SILVIA CASTRO	\$284,926	0	Not Filed		\$24,123 (ex)	\$550
2901	C00543538	RON LEACH FOR CONGRESS CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE	LEACH, RONALD ALLEN	THERESA DRANE	\$295,343	0	12/01/2014	27	\$99,889	\$2,827
2902	C00493137	SHARON SUND FOR CONGRESS	SUND, SHARON	CONRAD SEGAL	\$283,781	0	Not Filed		\$17,265 (ex)	\$550
2903	C00566331	WADE FOR US SENATE 14	WADE, KEVIN L	JAMES PICCONI	\$118,177	0	Not Filed		\$51,722 (ex)	\$2,870

1000013 2:44 PM

WOUNDING



- **Gagnier failed to report income on her Form 700, Statement of Economic Interest.**

*Source: Gagnier 2026 Form 700 on file with the San Bernardino County Registrar of voters*

**Gagnier signed documents under penalty of perjury that were supposed to report income sources to her family.**

But, she failed to report income her husband, Abe Dyk

Abe works for a top 200 law firm and certainly has a six-figure income. She is required to report 50% of his earning. Christina is also attorney who has run for office several times and ought to know the rules.

*From the Munger, Tolles & Olson law firm's web page:*

Abraham (Abe) Dyk is a litigation associate in the Los Angeles office of Munger, Tolles & Olson whose practice focuses on complex litigation and internal investigations, primarily relating to mass torts, educational institutions and labor and employment matters.

Abe's clients include Fortune 500 companies, public and private educational institutions and a leading nonprofit hospital. Outside of litigation, he advises companies and educational institutions on regulatory and employment matters.

**CALIFORNIA FORM 700**  
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

**STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS  
COVER PAGE  
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT**

Date of Filing Received: **FILED**  
**MAR 02 2026**

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) FIRST MIDDLE BY DEPUTY  
Gagnier Christina Marie REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

**1. Office, Agency, or Court**  
Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)  
San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors, District 4  
Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Your Position

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)  
Agency: N/A Position:


**2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)**  
 State  Judge (Supreme, Appellate, Superior Court), Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)  
 Multi-County \_\_\_\_\_  County of San Bernardino  
 City of \_\_\_\_\_  Other \_\_\_\_\_

**3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)**  
 Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2025, through December 31, 2025.  Leaving Office: Date Left \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ (Check one circle below.)  
-or- The period covered is \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ through \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_.  The period covered is January 1, 2025, through the date of leaving office.  
 Assuming Office: Date assumed \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_.  The period covered is \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ through the date of leaving office.  
 Candidate: Date of Election 06/02/2026 and office sought, if different than Part 1: \_\_\_\_\_

**4. Schedule Summary (required)** ► Total number of pages including this cover page: \_\_\_\_\_  
**Schedules attached**  
 Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached  Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached  
 Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached  Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached  
 Schedule B - Real Property - schedule attached  Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached  
 Attachment 700-P - Prospective Employment (87200 Filers Only) - schedule attached  
-or-  None - No reportable interests on any schedule

**5. Verification**  
MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE  
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)  
14071 Peyton Drive, #1373 Chino Hills CA 91709  
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER EMAIL ADDRESS  
( 909 ) 479-4178 christina@christinaforca.com  
I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.  
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.  
Date Signed 02/27/2026 Signature [Signature]  
(month, day, year) (File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official)

FPIC Form 700 - Cover Page (08/15/2004)  
advis@fpic.ca.gov • 866-275-3772 • www.fpic.ca.gov  
Page - 6



# Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

Name \_\_\_\_\_

### 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

**Christina M. Gagnier, a Professional Corporation**  
Name  
4195 Chino Hills Parkway, #290, Chino Hills, CA 91709  
Address (Business Address Acceptable)  
Check one  
 Trust, go to 2  Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

#### GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

**Law Firm**  
FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  
 \$0 - \$1,999  
 \$2,000 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000  
 Over \$1,000,000  
ACQUIRED        / 25 /        DISPOSED        / 25 /       

NATURE OF INVESTMENT  
 Partnership  Sole Proprietorship  Professional Corporation  
 Other  
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION **Partner**

### 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

\$0 - \$499  
 \$500 - \$1,000  
 \$1,001 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  
 OVER \$100,000

### 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (attach a separate sheet if necessary)

None or  Names listed below

### 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:  
 INVESTMENT  REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  
 \$2,000 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000  
 Over \$1,000,000  
ACQUIRED        / 25 /        DISPOSED        / 25 /       

NATURE OF INTEREST  
 Property Ownership/Deed of Trust  Stock  Partnership  
 Leasehold         Other         
Yrs. remaining

Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

### 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address (Business Address Acceptable)  
Check one  
 Trust, go to 2  Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

#### GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  
 \$0 - \$1,999  
 \$2,000 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000  
 Over \$1,000,000  
ACQUIRED        / 25 /        DISPOSED        / 25 /       

NATURE OF INVESTMENT  
 Partnership  Sole Proprietorship  Other  
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION \_\_\_\_\_

### 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

\$0 - \$499  
 \$500 - \$1,000  
 \$1,001 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  
 OVER \$100,000

### 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (attach a separate sheet if necessary)

None or  Names listed below

### 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:  
 INVESTMENT  REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  
 \$2,000 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000  
 Over \$1,000,000  
ACQUIRED        / 25 /        DISPOSED        / 25 /       

NATURE OF INTEREST  
 Property Ownership/Deed of Trust  Stock  Partnership  
 Leasehold         Other         
Yrs. remaining

Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

### SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions (Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

**CALIFORNIA FORM 700**  
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION  
Name \_\_\_\_\_

**1. INCOME RECEIVED**

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME  
University of California, Irvine School of Law  
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)  
401 E Peltason Dr Suite 1000, Irvine, CA 92697  
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE  
Teaching at Law School  
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION  
Clinical Adjunct Professor

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED  No Income - Business Position Only  
 \$500 - \$1,000  \$1,001 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED  
 Salary  Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income  
(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)

Sale of \_\_\_\_\_  
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or  Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Describe)

Other \_\_\_\_\_  
(Describe)

**1. INCOME RECEIVED**

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME  
Christina M. Gagnier, a California Professional Corporation  
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)  
4195 Chino Hills Parkway, #250, Chino Hills, CA 91709  
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE  
Law Firm  
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION  
Managing Partner

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED  No Income - Business Position Only  
 \$500 - \$1,000  \$1,001 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED  
 Salary  Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income  
(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)

Sale of \_\_\_\_\_  
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or  Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Describe)

Other \_\_\_\_\_  
(Describe)

**2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD**

\* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER\* \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) \_\_\_\_\_

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER \_\_\_\_\_

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

\$500 - \$1,000  
 \$1,001 - \$10,000  
 \$10,001 - \$100,000  
 OVER \$100,000

INTEREST RATE \_\_\_\_\_%  None

TERM (Months/Years) \_\_\_\_\_

SECURITY FOR LOAN

None  Personal residence

Real Property \_\_\_\_\_  
Street address

City \_\_\_\_\_

Guarantor \_\_\_\_\_

Other \_\_\_\_\_  
(Describe)

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

- **Gagnier's Businesses Lack Business Licenses**

- There is no business license for the Three Points law firm where Gagnier is listed as a partner (and may be the only partner). Claremont requires a business license to operate a business in the city. Claremont has a searchable business license database and Three-Point Law (under and variation) is not in the database.

- No business license was found in San Francisco where her former law firm, Gagnier/Margossian was located – even though San Francisco keeps a record of past and current licenses.

- **Gagnier took a lot of out of state and out of county money for her Supervisorial campaign.**

As of the 12/31 report:

- 23 donors were from out of state
- 11 were from CA but out of the district
- Only four of those were from SB County
- 2 were from in the district – one of those was the USA Schools Action fund which is associated with an organization that Gagnier co-founded.

As of this writing, 30 of 34 (88%) donors are from outside the county.

- **Gagnier took out of lots of out of state donations for her School Board campaign**

*Gagnier outpaces other school board candidates in campaign spending*

By Dawn Marks, Oct 26, 2018 Updated Nov 2, 2018

By far, Christina Gagnier of Chino Hills has received the most contributions of the nine Chino Valley school board candidates vying for two open seats in the Nov. 6 election. Mrs. Gagnier has spent more than twice the amount of next highest spending candidate, Jody Moore, on her campaign.

As of Sept. 22, Ms. Gagnier has received \$54,711 in contributions, spent \$13,966 on her campaign and has an ending cash balance of \$40,744.

Ms. Gagnier donated \$4,650 to her campaign from her law firm Gagnier Margossian LLP, where she is a partner.

Her mother-in-law Sally Katzen Dyk of Washington D.C., donated \$4,400. Individual donations of \$500 each were received from Daniel Barash of Washington DC, a consultant with the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee; Steven Murphy of Falls Church, VA., a consultant with MVAR Media, LLC; Jason Putorti, executive director of Resistbot Action Fund; and Alice Rivlin an economist with the Brookings Institution. Ms. Gagnier's husband Abraham Dyk is a partner at MVAR Media, a Democratic media consulting firm.

Committee contributions of \$500 each were received from Connie Leyva for Senate 2018 and from UFCW Western States Council in Buena Park. Joan Kaplan, an agent with Corcoran Group Real Estate gave \$350. A \$300 donation was received from Eric Johnson, a political consultant from Wilton Manors, FL. More than 20 individual donors gave \$250 each. Daniel Morrocco, a senior political advisor with Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee, was among 50 individuals who donated \$100 each.

- **Gagnier seems to have “ants in the pants.”** She has run for office six times – dropping out twice, worked in at least four law firms and served as CEO of a multiple businesses and started non-profits. She often fails to finish what she started.